KAREN M. VICKERS, OSB No. 913810

kvickers@vickersplass.com Telephone: 503-726-5985

BETH F. PLASS, OSB No. 122031

bplass@vickersplass.com Telephone: 503-726-5975 VICKERS PLASS LLC

5200 SW Meadows Road, Suite 150

Lake Oswego, OR 97035

Of Attorneys for Defendants

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF OREGON

## MEDFORD DIVISION

RACHEL G. DAMIANO and KATIE S. MEDART,

Case No. 1:21-cv-00859-CL

Plaintiffs,

v.

GRANTS PASS SCHOOL DISTRICT 7, an Oregon public body; THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF GRANTS PASS SCHOOL DISTRICT 7 – Scott Nelson, Cliff Kuhlman, Gary Richardson, Debbie Brownell, Cassie Wilkins, Brian Delagrange, and Casey Durbin – in their official and personal capacities; KIRK T. KOLB, Superintendent, Grants Pass School District 7, in his official and personal capacity; and THOMAS M. BLANCHARD, Principal, North Middle School, Grants Pass School District y, in his official and personal capacity,

OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN

Defendants Grants Pass School District 7 ("District"), Members of the Board of Education of Grants Pass School District 7, Kirk T. Kolb, and Thomas Blanchard ("defendants") respectfully submit the within response in opposition to plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order. Defendants may supplement their response in advance of the current response

Defendants.

PAGE 1 – DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO TRO

VICKERS PLASS LLC 5200 SW MEADOWS ROAD, SUITE 150 LAKE OSWEGO, OREGON 97035 (503) 726-5985 (503) 726-5975 deadline of July 6, 2017 and defendants intend to offer additional argument at the hearing.

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs Rachel G. Damiano and Katie S. Medart are teachers in the defendant Grants

Pass School District. Plaintiffs have filed for a temporary restraining order reinstating them to

their previous positions and enjoining enforcement of the District's Amended Speech Policy.

Plaintiffs' request for reinstatement is not ripe; they are still employed. The request should be

denied.

**STANDARDS** 

A temporary restraining order is an "extraordinary and drastic remedy never awarded as a

matter of right." Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc., 555 U.S. 7, 24 (2008). Courts balance

the competing claims of injury and consider the effect on each party of granting or denying the

injunction. A party seeking a temporary restraining order must demonstrate: (1) it is likely to

succeed on the merits; (2) it is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary

relief; (3) the balance of equities tips in its favor, and (4) an injunction is in the public interest."

*Id.* at 20. A plaintiff must prove all four of the elements before a court may issue preliminary

relief. Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell, 632 F3d 1127, 1135 (9th Cir. 2011) (finding that

in light of *Winter*, absent a showing of irreparable harm, no balancing of factors is allowable).

Thus, regardless of how strong the other elements may be, if a party seeking preliminary relief

fails to prove any one of the elements required by Winter, the court cannot grant preliminary

relief. The injunction "should not be granted unless the movant, by a clear showing, carries the

PAGE 2 – DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO TRO

VICKERS PLASS LLC 5200 SW MEADOWS ROAD, SUITE 150 LAKE OSWEGO, OREGON 97035 (503) 726-5985 (503) 726-5975 Case 1:21-cv-00859-CL Document 19 Filed 06/30/21 Page 3 of 5

burden of persuasion." Mazurek v. Armstrong, 520 U.S. 968, 972 (1997) (emphasis in original).

**BACKGROUND** 

Plaintiffs Rachel Damiano and Katie Medart are teachers in the defendant Grants Pass

School District ("District"). Plaintiff Damiano is employed by the District as an assistant

principal at North Middle School. Plaintiff Katie Medart is employed by the District as a teacher

at North Middle School.

In March 2021, plaintiffs posted a 15-minute video of themselves on YouTube related to

a political campaign called I Resolve. The video is available at:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X-pk4FOrBCw. The video advocates for various school

policies on gender identity, use of gender pronouns, and use of bathroom facilities. Both

plaintiffs identified themselves by name and as current educators in Southern Oregon.

As with other school districts in Oregon, the District has policies that govern employee

conduct. The District has policies regarding use of work time, facilities and equipment, and use

of its network for political campaigns. Declaration of Karen M. Vickers, Exhibit 1 (District

Policies GBG, IBGR-AR, GCAB). The District also has policies requiring that staff members

not disclose confidential information about students on social media. Id. An investigation into

plaintiffs determined that they had violated some of these policies, among others.

Plaintiffs have not been fired. The District initially placed them on leave in order to

investigate the matter. According to its processes, the District's Chief Finance & Operations

Officer, Sherry Ely reviewed the matter and recommended that District Superintendent Kirk

Kolb terminate plaintiffs' employment. Superintendent Kolb held a pre-termination meeting

PAGE 3 – DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO TRO

VICKERS PLASS LLC 5200 SW MEADOWS ROAD, SUITE 150 LAKE OSWEGO, OREGON 97035 (503) 726-5985 (503) 726-5975 with each plaintiff. Superintendent Kolb has now recommended that the District school board

terminate both plaintiffs' employment. The hearing before the school board is currently set for

July 15, 2021. Plaintiffs have the right to have an attorney present and an opportunity to respond

and appeal to the board before any final decision is made.

**ARGUMENT** 

Plaintiffs' request for reinstatement is not ripe because they have not been terminated

from their positions. District officials have recommended their terminations, but the school

board makes the final decision. It may choose to accept the termination recommendation for all

or some of the stated reasons, or it may choose not to terminate at all. Plaintiffs' request for

reinstatement is not ripe. See Texas v. United States, 523 US 226, 300-01 (1998) (a "claim is not

ripe for adjudication if it rests upon contingent future events that may not occur as anticipated, or

indeed may not occur at all" (internal quotation marks omitted)).

**CONCLUSION** 

For the reasons given above, defendants request that the Court deny plaintiffs' motion for

a temporary restraining order.

DATED: June 30, 2021.

VICKERS PLASS LLC

s/ Karen M. Vickers

PAGE 4 – DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO TRO

**KAREN M. VICKERS,** OSB No. 913810 <u>kvickers@vickersplass.com</u> 503-726-5985 **BETH F. PLASS,** OSB No. 122031 <u>bplass@vickersplass.com</u> 503-726-5975 Of Attorneys for Defendants